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#### Abstract

The true prevalence and cardiovascular comorbidities of resistant hypertension (RH) in Nigeria and Africa are not known. We sought to determine the prevalence and cardiovascular comorbidities of resistant hypertension in a treated Nigerian hypertensive population.

We analyzed 1,378 patients with essential hypertension from a prospective clinical registry, the Federal Medical Centre Abuja Hypertension Registry. Resistant hypertension was defined as blood pressure $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ despite the use of $\geq 3$ guideline-recommended antihypertensive medications including a diuretic, reninangiotensin system blocker and calcium-channel blocker at optimal or best-tolerated doses or blood pressure $<140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ on $\geq 4$ antihypertensive medications. Resistant hypertension was confirmed with the use of home blood pressure monitoring while adherence was determined by monitoring prescription orders.

The prevalence of resistant hypertension was $15.5 \%$, with $12.3 \%$ as controlled resistant hypertension and $3.3 \%$ as uncontrolled resistant hypertension. Risk factors independently associated with the odds of resistant hypertension were male sex (adjusted odds ratio [AOR]: 1.62, 95\% confidence interval [CI] 1.19-2.21, p=0.002), obesity, and diabetes mellitus. Furthermore, patients with resistant hypertension were more likely to have heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (AOR: 3.36, 95\% CI $1.25-9.07, p=0.017$ ), cerebrovascular disease, and chronic kidney disease. In our treated hypertensive cohort, resistant hypertension was associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, and it appears this burden maybe 2-3 times more in those with resistant hypertension compared to those without. Concerted efforts to prevent or promptly treat resistant hypertension in our population will reduce cardiovascular comorbidities.
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## INTRODUCTION

Resistant hypertension (RH) is a cause of considerable cardiovascular (CV) morbidity and mortality all over the world through cardiac, cerebrovascular, and renal diseases [1-7]. It is associated with increased financial burden due to polypharmacy, and the cost of care of comorbidities or complications. The prevalence of true resistant hypertension is not accurately known globally. This is due to the evolving understanding of RH in the last two decades which occasioned the use of different definitions and methodologies. However, in the last decade and a half, consensus definitions have been more specific. In 2007 and 2008, the European and American guidelines defined RH as failure to achieve blood pressure (BP) control despite lifestyle modification and use of three or more antihypertensive medications in adequate doses, or four or more antihypertensive medications with or without BP control [8, 9]. The specificity of present definitions was made possible by the increased understanding [10] of factors that cause falsely elevated office BP leading to pseudo-RH. These factors include inaccurate BP measurement, inadequate antihypertensive medication combinations, medication non-adherence, white coat hypertension, and treatment inertia [10]. The converse occurs when office BP is falsely controlled in the absence of out-of-office BP measurement that will show poor BP control. This is seen in patients with masked hypertension (MH), and this causes underestimation of RH. In cases where pseudo-resistance is excluded and MH is diagnosed with out-of-office BP measurement, true RH is said to be present.

In Nigeria and Africa, there is a dearth of information on the true prevalence, risk factors and CV comorbidities associated with RH. CV comorbidities such as cerebrovascular disease (CVD), heart failure (HF), chronic kidney disease (CKD) and coronary artery disease (CAD) in RH have been studied extensively in different populations but not in African populations [1-7]. In this study, we evaluated the prevalence, risk factors and CV comorbidities associated with RH in a cohort of treated hypertensive Nigerians. This will provide accurate and reliable information for policymaking and program design aimed at addressing the burden of resistant hypertension and related comorbidities in Nigeria and Africa.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## SUBJECTS

All consenting patients attending the cardiology clinics of Federal Medical Centre, Abuja (FMCA) were consecutively recruited into the Federal Medical Centre Abuja Hypertension Registry (FMCAHR) between 2016 and 2021. One thousand three hundred and seventy-eight $(1,378)$ essential hypertensive patients aged 18 years and above were included in this study. All patients with secondary forms of hypertension including primary kidney diseases were excluded from this analysis.

The FMCA is a leading tertiary healthcare institution in the federal capital of Nigeria. Abuja has over one million ethnically diverse inhabitants. Also, nationals of other African nations and non-Africans domiciled in Abuja access health care in FMCA. All patients gave informed consent and ethics clearance with registration number FMCABJ/HREC/2017/009 was obtained from the hospital's ethics research committee.

## CLINICAL INFORMATION

Information was obtained from detailed medical history and examination and stored in an Excel database. These include age (years), sex, weight (kg), height (cm), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), waist circumference (cm), duration of hypertension and diabetes mellitus (DM) (in months and years), first-degree family history of hypertension and DM (parents, siblings and children), duration of alcohol use (grams and duration of use in years), tobacco use (pack years), office systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP \& DBP) ( mmHg ) measurements by nurses and physicians at the first visit and the visit of $B P$ control, number of clinic visits before BP control, home BP measurements and presence of CV comorbidities and non-CV comorbidities at recruitment, and on follow-up. Information was collected on all medications including combinations of antihypertensives.

Blood and urine for urinalysis were collected at the hospital laboratory at the first clinic visit and on follow-up as appropriate for all routine investigations. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the 2021 CKD epidemiology collaboration creatinine equation (CKD-EPI), and it was used to diagnose CKD when persistently < $60 \mathrm{mls} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ [11].

A resting 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) was carried out on all participants on their first clinic visit and subsequently, as the need arose by trained technicians according to the American College of Cardiology guidelines [12]. Schiller AT-102 system (Baar, Switzerland) at a speed of $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ and $1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{cm}$ calibration was used, and ECGs were reported by hospital cardiologists.

Echocardiography was performed on all patients by hospital cardiologists at patients' first visit and subsequently as appropriate using General Electric, Model Vivid E9, Horten, Norway with M5S probe or General Electric, Model S6, Horten, Norway with M4S probe. Measurements were taken in accordance with recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography and the British Society of Echocardiography [13, 14].

## BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT

Blood pressure check using appropriate upper-arm cuff sizes was done by physicians with a mercury sphygmomanometer (Accosson, London, UK) after 3-5 minutes of rest in a sitting position. BP recheck was done after a minute, and then recorded down as office BP. SBP and DBP were measured using Korotkoff sounds I at the first appearance of the heart sounds and V at the disappearance of the heart sounds respectively. All patients were counselled on lifestyle modification, adherence, and prescriptions were reviewed by the pharmacy department for medications that increase BP such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories, antacids and sodiumcontaining proton pump inhibitors, sympathomimetic agents, steroids, contraceptive pills, antidepressants, and immunosuppressants.

All patients were encouraged to get the Omron M2 model BP monitor, which underwent calibration in the clinic, for upper-arm home BP monitoring (HBPM). They were taught by the physicians to measure their BP after sitting comfortably for at least five minutes. They were to take three BP readings, one minute apart, in the morning (after urinating) and at bedtime. The last two BP readings were recorded in their BP diary. The patients were asked to measure their BP at least three times a week and take daily measurements one week before clinic visit. The average of the last two BP readings of all daily measurements one week before clinic visit was recorded as home $B P$.

## ADHERENCE AND FOLLOW-UP

Adherence was determined using prescription and pill count monitoring. Prescriptions were monitored for processing and dispensing by the hospital pharmacy, and patients brought their medications to the clinic for sighting and pill count. Patients were seen at two to four weeks following initial visit, and then monthly until BP control. Doses of medications were titrated upwards at each visit until optimal or best-tolerated doses were achieved.

## DEFINITIONS

- Hypertension was diagnosed by persistent elevation of office $B P \geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ [15], use of antihypertensive medication or prior diagnosis by a physician before referral to our clinic.
- RH was defined as $B P \geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ despite the use of $\geq 3$ guideline-recommended antihypertensive medications including a diuretic (thiazide/thiazide-like), a reninangiotensin system (RAS) blocker (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor [ACEI] or angiotensin receptor blocker [ARB]), and a long-acting calcium channel blocker (CCB) at optimal or best- tolerated doses [15, 16]. RH also included patients on $\geq 4$ antihypertensive medications with a BP of $<140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ [16]. RH was confirmed with the use of an average home BP of $\leq 135 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ in the week preceding clinic visit. Patients must have been on antihypertensive medications for more than one month after the first clinic visit. Those with uncontrolled office $B P \geq 140 / 90$ who were not adherent to lifestyle and pharmacological treatment, or not on guideline-recommended anti-hypertensive drugs or with white-coat hypertension were not considered to have RH.
- Pseudo-RH refers to falsely diagnosed RH as a result of inaccurate BP measurement, nonuse of guideline-recommended antihypertensive drug combinations, medication nonadherence, white coat hypertension, or treatment inertia $[10,16]$.
- White coat hypertension refers to office BP elevations in the presence of controlled home or ambulatory BP levels [10, 16].
- Masked hypertension refers to normal office BP levels in the presence of elevated home or ambulatory BP [10, 16].
- Treatment inertia refers to the use of inadequate doses or non-use of guidelinerecommended BP-lowering drug combinations [10, 16].
- Diabetes mellitus (DM) was diagnosed by fasting blood glucose (FBG) of $7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or 2-hour plasma glucose level of $11.1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ [17], and obesity was diagnosed by a body mass index of $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ [18]. Dyslipidemia was diagnosed when any change in lipids (total cholesterol $[T C] \geq 5.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{HDL}<1.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ in males and $<1.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ in females, $L D L \geq 3.4 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$, and triglycerides [TG] $\geq 1.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l})$, whether isolated or combined, was present [19, 20].
- Cardiovascular comorbidities present at first visit or in the course of follow-up were recorded as follows: HF (HF with reduced ejection fraction [HFrEF], HF with mildly reduced ejection fraction [HFmREF] and HF with preserved ejection fraction [HFpEF]), CAD, CVD, CKD, peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and arrhythmias. They were diagnosed by physicians with appropriate tests in line with guideline recommendations [21-27].
- Alcohol use was defined as current intake of alcohol or cessation of intake of less than one-year duration.
- Tobacco use was defined as current, passive, or past use of tobacco and tobacco products.


## DATA ANALYSIS

Categorical variables are expressed as proportions and percentages while continuous variables are expressed as means $\pm$ standard deviation or as ranges. The association of variables of all patients with the presence or absence of RH was tested by chi-squared test and independent T-test where appropriate. Fisher's exact test was used for categorical data with an expected cell size of less than 5 . Multivariable logistic regression with model-fitting statistics was used to draw an association between statistically significant predictor and outcome variables from univariable models. P- value < 0.05 is taken as statistically significant. Data was analyzed using the SPSS version 26 software for Windows.

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of participants by RH. The mean age was $55.6 \pm 12.9$ years and there were more females (56.1\%) than males (43.9\%). More males however had RH (51.4\%). Participants with RH had a significantly longer duration of hypertension compared with those without ( $p<0.001$ ). At the univariate level, CV risk factors such as DM ( $p=0.018$ ), obesity ( $p<0.001$ ), and family history of hypertension ( $p=0.011$ ) showed significant association with RH. Fasting blood glucose ( $p=0.010$ ) and serum creatinine ( $p=0.009$ ) were significantly higher, and eGFR was significantly lower ( $p=0.018$ ) respectively in those with RH compared with those without RH. Also, office BP at the first visit and control in those with RH were significantly higher than in those without RH ( $p$ 0.001). The frequency of controlled RH, uncontrolled RH and combined RH were $12.3 \%, 3.3 \%$, ( $<0.001$ ) and $15.5 \%$ respectively while the frequency of white coat hypertension was $1.7 \%(p=0.073)$.

The number of antihypertensive medications taken was significantly higher in RH participants ( $p<0.001$ ), and ejection fraction ( $p=0.004$ ), HF ( $p=0.006$ ), HFrEF/HFmrEF ( $p=0.002$ ), HFpEF $(p=0.002)$, CVD $(p=0.003)$, and CKD $(p=0.031)$ were significantly higher in those with RH. Patients with RH visited the clinic more times before BP control than non-RH patients ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.001). However, TC, HDL, LDL, TG, alcohol and tobacco use, dyslipidemia, left ventricular mass index, and CAD were not significantly associated with RH ( $p>0.05$ ).

|  | TOTAL $n=1378$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-RH } \\ & (n=1164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RH } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=214) \end{aligned}$ | P-VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | $55.6 \pm 12.9$ | $55.6 \pm 13.1$ | $55.5 \pm 11.8$ | 0.990 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 606 (43.9\%) | 496 (42.6\%) | 110 (51.4\%) | 0.017 |
| Female | 773 (56.1\%) | 669 (57.4\%) | 104 (48.6\%) |  |
| Duration of hypertension (months) | $98.6 \pm 99.0$ | $92.5 \pm 95.9$ | $129.1 \pm 108.7$ | <0.001 |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l) | $6.0 \pm 2.4$ | $6.0 \pm 2.2$ | $6.5 \pm 3.1$ | 0.010 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 284 (20.6\%) | 227 (19.5\%) | 57 (26.6\%) | 0.018 |
| Family history of hypertension | 783 (56.7\%) | 651 (55.9\%) | 132 (61.7\%) | 0.011 |
| Alcohol use | 415 (30.1\%) | 341 (29.3\%) | 74 (34.6\%) | 0.198 |
| Tobacco use | 79 (5.7\%) | 62 (5.3\%) | 17 (7.9\%) | 0.204 |
| First office SBP (mmHg) | $145 \pm 23$ | $143 \pm 21$ | $160 \pm 23$ | <0.001 |
| First office DBP ( mmHg ) | $88 \pm 14$ | $87 \pm 14$ | $93 \pm 15$ | <0.001 |
| Office SBP at control ( mmHg ) | $125 \pm 14$ | $123 \pm 13$ | $132 \pm 17$ | <0.001 |
| Office DBP at control (mmHg) | $77 \pm 9$ | $77 \pm 9$ | $79 \pm 12$ | <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | $5.1 \pm 1.2$ | $5.1 \pm 1.2$ | $5.1 \pm 1.4$ | 0.542 |
| High-density lipoprotein (mmol/l) | $1.6 \pm 0.6$ | $1.6 \pm 0.6$ | $1.6 \pm 0.6$ | 0.525 |
| Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/l) | $3.0 \pm 1.1$ | $3.0 \pm 1.1$ | $3.1 \pm 1.2$ | 0.616 |
| Triglyceride (mmol/l) | $1.2 \pm 0.6$ | $1.2 \pm 0.6$ | $1.3 \pm 0.7$ | 0.050 |
| Dyslipidemia | 917 (70.6\%) | 770 (70.5\%) | 147 (71.4\%) | 0.807 |
| Obesity ( $\mathrm{BMI}>30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) | 660 (47.9\%) | 535 (45.9\%) | 125 (59.0\%) | <0.001 |
| Number of antihypertensives | $2.6 \pm 1.1$ | $2.4 \pm 1.0$ | $4.1 \pm 0.7$ | <0.001 |
| No of office visits before BP control | $2.87 \pm 1.58$ | $2.66 \pm 1.20$ | $3.96 \pm 2.59$ | <0.001 |
| BP Control |  |  |  | <0.001 |
| Controlled Non-RH | 1105 (80.1\%) | 1105 (94.8\%) | 0.0 (0\%) |  |
| Controlled RH | 169 (12.3\%) | 0.0 (0\%) | 169 (79.0\%) |  |
| Uncontrolled Non-RH | 60 (4.4\%) | 60 (5.2\%) | 0.0 (0\%) |  |
| Uncontrolled RH | 45 (3.3\%) | 0.0 (0.0\%) | 45 (21.0\%) |  |
| White coat hypertension | 23 (1.7\%) | 16 (1.4\%) | 7 (3.3\%)* | 0.073 |
| Serum creatinine ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | $98.0 \pm 36.8$ | $96.9 \pm 37.4$ | $103.6 \pm 33.1$ | 0.009 |
| eGFR (mls/min/1.73 m²) | $72.3 \pm 19.8$ | $72.9 \pm 19.8$ | $69.4 \pm 19.5$ | 0.018 |
| LVMI ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $107.9 \pm 36.2$ | $107.4 \pm 36.7$ | $111.0 \pm 33.1$ | 0.177 |
| Ejection fraction (\%) | $64 \pm 14$ | $64 \pm 15$ | $67 \pm 12$ | 0.004 |
| Heart Failure | 207 (15.0\%) | 188 (16.1\%) | 19 (8.9\%) | 0.006 |
| HFrEF/HFmrEF | 145 (10.5\%) | 137 (11.8\%) | 8 (3.7\%) | 0.002 |
| HFpEF | 62 (4.5\%) | 51 (4.4\%) | 11 (5.1\%) | 0.002 |
| Cerebrovascular Disease | 86 (6.2\%) | 63 (5.4\%) | 23 (10.7\%) | 0.003 |
| Chronic Kidney Disease | 35 (2.5\%) | 25 (2.1\%) | 10 (4.7\%) | 0.031 |
| Coronary Artery Disease | 27 (2.0\%) | 22 (1.9\%) | 5 (2.3\%) | 0.664 |
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In Table 2, the multivariable model showed a significant and positive association of male sex ( $p=0.002$ ), obesity $(p<0.001), D M(p=0.032), C V D(p=0.011), C K D(p=0.008)$ and HFpEF ( $p=$ 0.017 ) with RH. Family history of hypertension ( $p=0.053$ ) did not show a significant association while those with HF ( $<0.001$ ) were less likely to have RH compared with those without RH.

| OUTCOME (RESISTANT HYPERTENSION) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CO-VARIATES | ADJUSTED ODDS RATIO (95\% CI) | P-VALUE |
| Sex (male) | $1.62(1.19-2.21)$ | 0.002 |
| Family history of hypertension | $1.40(0.99-1.96)$ | 0.053 |
| Obesity | $1.81(1.32-2.47)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Diabetes Mellitus | $1.46(1.03-2.06)$ | 0.032 |
| HFpEF | $3.36(1.25-9.07)$ | 0.017 |
| HF | $0.26(0.12-0.55)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Cerebrovascular disease | $2.00(1.17-3.41)$ | 0.011 |
| Chronic kidney disease | $3.01(1.33-6.84)$ | 0.008 |

Table 3 shows the medications and medication combinations used by the participants. Patients with RH were on guideline recommended combinations of thiazide or thiazide-like diuretics (100\%), CCB (100\%), and RAS blockers (100\%) [ARBs (57.5\%) and ACE inhibitors (42.5\%)]. $66.4 \%$ of the patients with RH were on beta-blockers while $19.6 \%$ and $15.4 \%$ were on alphablockers and mineralocorticoid antagonists (spironolactone/eplerenone) respectively. For all the hypertensives, free medication combinations were used in $57.4 \%$ of cases, $6.4 \%$ as single pill combinations (SPCs) and 20.2\% were mixed (free and SPCs) [p $<0.001$ ].

| PARAMETERS | TOTAL (n = 1378) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-RH } \\ & (n=1164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RH} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=214) \end{aligned}$ | P-VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medications |  |  |  |  |
| Calcium channel blockers | 927 (67.2\%) | 713 (61.2\%) | 214 (100\%) | $<0.001$ |
| Diuretics <br> (Thiazides, Thiazide-like and Loop) ** | 834 (60.5 | 620 (53.2\%) | 214 (100\%) | <0.001 |
| Mineralocorticoid antagonists <br> (Spironolactone/Eplerenone) | 104 (7.5\%) | 71 (6.1\%) | 33 (15.4\%) | $<0.001$ |
| ACE Inhibitors | 540 (39.2\%) | 449 (38.5\%) | 91 (42.5\%) | 0.273 |
| Angiotensin Receptor Blockers | 562 (40.8\%) | 439 (37.7\%) | 123 (57.5\%) | $<0.001$ |
| Beta-blockers | 502 (36.4\%) | 360 (30.9\%) | 142 (66.4\%) | $<0.001$ |
| Alpha-blockers | 55 (4.0\%) | 13 (1.1\%) | 42 (19.6\%) | $<0.001$ |
| Centrally acting <br> (Alpha Methyl Dopa) | 50 (3.6\%) | 44 (3.8\%) | 6 (2.8\%) | 0.484 |
| Hydralazine | 5 (0.4\%) | 2 (0.2\%) | 3 (1.4\%) | 0.006* |
| Medication combinations |  |  |  |  |
| Free | 791 (57.4\%) | 696 (59.7\%) | 95 (44.4\%) | <0.001 |
| Single pill combination | 88 (6.4\%) | 86 (7.4\%) | 2 (0.9\%) | <0.001 |
| Mixed | 279 (20.2\%) | 162 (13.9\%) | 117 (54.7\%) | $<0.001$ |

## DISCUSSION

The prevalence of combined RH in this study is $15.5 \%$, with $3.3 \%$ for uncontrolled RH and $12.3 \%$ for controlled RH. To our knowledge, this is the first Nigerian and African RH study to use guideline-recommended antihypertensive combinations wholly, and the first to examine the association of RH with CV comorbidities.
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Table 2 Multivariable logistic regression analysis of risk factors and cardiovascular comorbidities associated with resistant hypertension.
HF- Heart failure, HFpEF- Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, Model fit p < 0.001, Cox \& Snell R Square of 0.044 , Nagelkerke R Square of 0.076, Hosmer and Lemeshow Test of 0.935 .

Table 3 Medications and their combinations used by the participants.
*Fisher's exact test, **Loop diuretics not used in those with RH. ACE- Angiotensinconverting enzyme. Mixeduse of free and single pill combinations together, RH Resistant hypertension.

Previous African studies on RH revealed that the prevalence of RH range from 4.9 to 19\% [28-35]. The wide range reflects the different definitions that were used for the different studies, partly because of the evolving understanding of RH in the last two decades. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Nansseu et al. noted that the patients used in the five studies included could be classified as true RH, controlled RH, or pseudo-RH depending on the definition used [28]. In the only study in the review that measured out-of-office BP, Yameogo et al. found a prevalence of $14.6 \%$ among 692 hypertensives with office BP of $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and ambulatory BP of $\geq$ $130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$, but without the use of guideline-recommended antihypertensive combinations [31]. More recently, Kuntonda et al., in a retrospective cohort study, reported a prevalence of 9.4\% for true RH after 24-hour ABPM [29], while in another recent Ghanaian multi-center study, the prevalence of apparent RH was 18.9\% [30]. Our study's prevalence is within the range of previous studies but may approximate the true prevalence because of the use of guidelinerecommended antihypertensives, longer duration of hypertension, longitudinal BP control through optimal or best tolerated antihypertensive doses, and assessment of adherence and lifestyle modification. Previous studies conducted in African populations also did not make a distinction between uncontrolled and controlled RH. Globally, the prevalence of RH in treated hypertensives varies widely from 1.9 to $30 \%[10,15]$ depending on the population studied and the methodology used. Using the definition of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), it has been suggested that the true prevalence of RH is likely to be $<10 \%$ among treated patients [10, 15]. Therefore, using the same ESC definition, our study suggests that the prevalence of uncontrolled RH in a treated hypertensive Nigerian population may be less than 5\%. However, studies have shown that both controlled and uncontrolled RH confer morbidity and mortality in hypertensive patients [3, 36]. In a cross-sectional study of 470,386 hypertensive individuals carried out by Sim et al., the risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and mortality was higher in those with controlled and uncontrolled RH compared to those without RH [3]. Sim et al. also reported the prevalence of uncontrolled RH, controlled RH, and combined RH to be $7.9 \%, 4.9 \%$, and $12.8 \%$ respectively [36]. The prevalence of controlled and combined RH is higher in our study, and this may be the result of higher baseline office BP of $160 / 93(23 / 15) \mathrm{mmHg}$ in our study, compared to $143 / 74(20 / 13) \mathrm{mmHg}$ in their study. This is not surprising because of the lower levels of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in African populations compared to nonAfrican populations [37]. The prevalence of uncontrolled RH is higher in the study by Sim et al. compared to ours. It is noteworthy that Sim and colleagues did not confirm RH with out-ofoffice BP measurements, hence the true prevalence is likely to be lower. Though the prevalence of uncontrolled RH is lower compared to controlled RH in this Nigerian cohort, both groups are at an increased risk of morbidity and mortality.

Risk factors that have been associated with RH in African and non-African populations include increasing age, obesity, DM, family history of hypertension, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, and sedentary living [1-7, 29-35]. In our study, we found that hypertensive patients who are male, obese, and diabetic are more likely to have RH. With increasing rates of these risk factors of RH in Nigeria and Africa, this suggests that the burden of RH will continue to rise with a substantial increase in CV morbidity and mortality. Therefore, strategies geared toward preventing and treating these risk factors of RH need to be reinforced.

After multivariable adjustment, our study showed that CVD and CKD are two and three times, respectively, more likely to be present in hypertensives with RH . This is similar to greater risks in RH compared with non-RH patients in a large ethnically diverse hypertensive population [3, 36]. Data from longitudinal, retrospective, registry, and survey analyses, show that RH is not only associated with increased CV events and all-cause mortality but also with CHF [1-7]. Our study showed that compared with those without HF, patients with HF were less likely to have RH while those with HFpEF were 3 times more likely to have RH than those with other forms of HF. The higher number of patients in this hypertensive cohort with HFrEF and HFmrEF may account for the difference between HF and HFpEF. The protective effect of HFrEF has been previously shown by Jin et al in their study of 1,288 patients admitted for HF in China [38]. Our study showed for the first time in a Nigerian population that CV comorbidities are more prevalent in hypertensives with RH. This increased risk of CV morbidities in RH populations implies a higher healthcare and economic burden. Hence, concerted and collaborative action from the primary care level to ensure early identification of RH, and prompt referral for specialist care are imperative to reduce further morbidity and mortality.

The prevalence of white coat hypertension in our study is low at 1.7\%. A low prevalence of white coat hypertension is expected when office $B P$ is based on repeated measurements as seen in this study [15]. All the patients with RH in our study had guideline-recommended antihypertensive combinations. This is the first to our knowledge in Nigeria and Africa, eliminating a common cause of pseudo-RH in treatment inertia. Our study showed that SPC use (6.4\%) was the lowest of the medication combinations. SPCs are expensive, and our patients mostly paid out of pocket for their medications. Medication adherence may therefore be jeopardized in patients not well motivated to take free pills, leading to poor BP control. The use of cheaper generic SPCs may enhance adherence and BP control consequently. In addition, the incorporation of fourth-line medications such as mineralocorticoid antagonists, beta blockers, and doxazosin in various SPCs for RH may greatly enhance adherence. These would lead to a reduction in the morbidity and mortality associated with RH.

One limitation of our study is that we were not able to confirm adherence with urinary or serum metabolite testing. We used monitoring of prescription orders and pill count to assess adherence. As much as $53 \%$ of patients were reported to be non-adherent by Jung et al. when urinary drug or drug metabolite levels were measured in patients referred for uncontrolled RH [39]. However, biochemical assays are expensive and are not readily available [40]. We used HBPM to confirm RH in our study instead of ABPM because of the lack of health insurance to cover the cost of ABPM for most of our patients. Guidelines recommend ABPM and/or HBPM to confirm RH [15, 16, 41, 42]. Our data on CV comorbidities should be interpreted with caution because it combined events that were present at recruitment and events that occurred at follow-up. However, our relatively large sample size, use of recommended guideline medication combinations, HBPM to confirm RH, and assessment of CV morbidities for the first time in African patients are strengths of this study. Further studies are needed in Africa to prospectively ascertain the burden of mortality of RH.

## CONCLUSION

This study showed the prevalence of RH including uncontrolled and controlled in a treated hypertensive Nigerian cohort. This is likely a true representation of RH in Nigerian hypertensives given that treatment inertia and pseudo-RH were excluded. Patients with RH were more likely to be male, obese, and diabetic. For the first time in a Nigerian and African cohort, our study demonstrated the association of RH with CVD, CKD, and HFpEF. Our findings highlight the heavy burden of RH which calls for early diagnosis of RH to reduce morbidity and mortality.
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