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ABSTRACT

This study sought to summarize the findings of the GBD 2010 (Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors) study for ischemic stroke (IS) and to report the impact of tobacco smoking on IS burden in specific countries. The GBD 2010 searched multiple databases to identify relevant studies published between 1990 and 2010. The GBD 2010 analytical tools were used to calculate region-specific IS incidence, mortality, mortality-to-incidence ratio, and disability-adjusted life years (DALY) lost, including 95% uncertainty intervals (UI). In 2010, there were approximately 11,569,000 incident IS events (63% in low- and middle-income countries [LMIC]), approximately 2,835,000 deaths from IS (57% in LMIC), and approximately 39,389,000 DALY lost due to IS (64% in LMIC). From 1990 to 2010, there was a significant increase in global IS burden in terms of absolute number of people with incident IS (37% increase), deaths from IS (21% increase), and DALY lost due to IS (18% increase). Age-standardized IS incidence, DALY lost, mortality, and mortality-to-incidence ratios in high-income countries declined by about 13% (95% UI: 6% to 18%), 34% (95% UI: 16% to 36%), and 37% (95% UI: 19% to 39%), 21% (95% UI: 10% to 27%), respectively. However, in LMIC there was a modest 6% increase in the age-standardized incidence of IS (95% UI: −7% to 18%) despite modest reductions in mortality rates, DALY lost, and mortality-to-incidence ratios. There was considerable variability among country-specific estimates within broad GBD regions. China, Russia, and India were ranked highest in both 1990 and 2010 for IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption. Although age-standardized IS mortality rates have declined over the last 2 decades, the absolute global burden of IS is increasing, with the bulk of DALY lost in LMIC. Tobacco consumption is an important modifiable risk factor for IS, and in both 1990 and 2010, the top ranked countries for IS deaths that could be attributed to tobacco consumption were China, Russia, and India. Tobacco control policies that target both smoking initiation and smoking cessation can play an important role in the prevention of IS. In China, Russia, and India, even modest reductions in the number of current smokers could see millions of lives saved due to prevention of IS alone.

Evaluating stroke burden by its major pathological subtypes and studying secular trends of stroke subtypes in different regions of the world is important for targeted region- and country-specific stroke prevention and healthcare planning. Ischemic stroke (IS) is the most common subtype worldwide, but there has been little information on the global and regional IS incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life-years (DALY) lost in high-income countries (HIC) versus in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). The GBD 2010 (Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors) study ranked stroke as the second most common cause of death [1] and the third leading cause of disability in 2010 [2]. The aim of this report is to summarize the key findings of the GBD 2010 study for IS incidence, mortality, mortality-to-incidence (MI) ratio, and DALY lost for IS in 1990 and 2010. Prior GBD 2010 study publications have presented IS estimates by country, age group, and country income category (HIC vs. LMIC) [3]. This report will review region-specific data on incidence, mortality, and DALY lost for IS for the 21 GBD regions. The burden of IS attributable to tobacco, one of the leading preventable risk factors [4] associated with IS was also investigated.

METHODS

A systematic review was conducted to identify suitable IS and total stroke epidemiological studies for inclusion in the GBD 2010 study. The literature search strategy, selection criteria, and full details of the methodology are reported elsewhere [5,6]. Pathological types of stroke were analyzed only for studies that had computed tomography or The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest.
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RESULTS

The GBD 2010 study literature search identified 119 stroke studies that met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review, and these were included in the subsequent analyses. The GBD 2010 study estimated that in 2010 there were about 11,569,000 incident IS events (7,316,000 [63%] in LMIC), about 2,835,000 deaths from IS (1,625,000 [57%] in LMIC), and that the total number of DALY lost due to IS were about 39,389,000 (25,137,000 [64%] in LMIC). The GBD 2010 study estimated that in 2010, total age-standardized incidence rates (per 100,000 person-years) of IS ranged from 51.88 (Qatar; 95% UI: 36.92 to 70.28) to 433.97 (Lithuania; 95% UI: 369.12 to 505.59). Age-standardized mortality rates (per 100,000 person-years) of IS ranged from 9.17 (Qatar; 95% UI: 7.71 to 10.60) to 137.70 (Russia; 95% UI: 108.71 to 150.90), and for DALY lost from 163.89 (Israel; 95% UI: 132.32 to 207.45) to 2,032.11 (Afghanistan; 95% UI: 1,576.6 to 2,886.65).

In the first decade of the 21st century, the highest incidence rates of IS were seen in Eastern Europe, Central Asia, East Asia, and North Africa/Middle East (Fig. 1A). There was a considerable amount of heterogeneity among countries within GBD regions for age-standardized IS incidence rates, mortality rates, and DALY lost in 2010. The largest variation in incidence rates was seen in East Asia (lower quartile [Q1]: 144.75, upper quartile [Q3]: 205.95), whereas the smallest variation was seen in Australasia (Q1: 76.27, Q3: 79.45) (Fig. 1A). In 2010, the lowest IS incidence rate was seen in Australasia and the highest was in Eastern Europe (Fig. 1A). Considering mortality rates, the largest variation was seen in Central Asia (Q1: 36.88, Q3: 86.97) (Fig. 1B), whereas the smallest variation was seen in South Asia (Q1: 28.94, Q3: 38.83). In 2010, the lowest mortality rates for IS were observed in High Income North America and the highest mortality rates for IS were observed in Eastern Europe (Fig. 1B). Finally for DALY, in 2010, the largest variation was seen in Oceania (Q1: 633.65, Q3: 1,569.27) and the smallest in North America High Income (Q1: 287.30, Q3: 295.76) (Fig. 1C). In 2010, the lowest DALY lost were in Australasia and North America and the highest DALY lost were in Eastern Europe.

Table 1 presents the median and interquartile ranges (IQR) for age-standardized incidence, mortality per 100,000 person-years and DALY lost per 100,000 people for IS by countries within each of the 21 GBD regions between 1990 and 2010. These results show that in general incidence, mortality and DALY lost have decreased in high-income regions (e.g., Western Europe) but were very variable in low- and middle-income regions (e.g., increased incidence, but decreased mortality and DALY lost in Sub-Saharan Africa). Specifically, for countries in Western Europe, the median age-standardized incidence rate (IQR), median age-standardized mortality rate (IQR), and median DALY lost (IQR) in 1990 was 127.65 (13.31), 39.10 (16.37), and 516.51 (194.33), respectively. In 2010, these variations continued, with some countries seeing increases in DALY lost while others showed decreases.
were reduced to 102.39 (11.16), 22.61 (7.74), and 276.95 (89.36), respectively. Conversely, in Sub-Saharan Africa, Central during the same period, the estimates in 1990 were 136.36 (6.63), 57.85 (12.96), and 964.04 (144.73), respectively, and in 2010, they were 166.69 (6.26), 53.07 (18.51), and 831.72 (145.72), respectively.

One of the key findings of the GBD 2010 study was that in HIC, age-standardized IS incidence rates, mortality rates, DALY, and MI ratios were statistically significantly reduced by 13% (95% UI: 6% to 18%), 37% (95% UI: 19% to 39%), 34% (95% UI: 16% to 36%), and 21% (95% UI: 10% to 27%), respectively, between 1990 and 2010. These reductions were statistically significant for both younger (<75 years) and older (≥75 years) age groups. In LMIC, there was a statistically nonsignificant 6% increase (95% UI: −7% to 18%) in age-standardized IS incidence. In addition, mortality rates, DALY lost, and MI ratios for IS were reduced by 14% (95% UI: 9% to 19%), 17% (95% UI: −11% to 19%), and 16% (95% UI: −12% to 22%), although these reductions were not statistically significant. The GBD 2010 study also estimated that globally, the mean age of patients with incident and fatal IS was about 5 years older in LMIC than in HIC, and this differential remained constant between 1990 and 2010 [3].

FIGURE 1. Box and whisker plots of (A) age-standardized ischemic stroke incidence rates per 100,000 person-years; (B) age-standardized ischemic stroke mortality rates per 100,000 person-years; and (C) age-standardized DALY lost per 100,000 people by each of the 21 GBD regions in 2010. The bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles, and the band inside the box is the median. The ends of the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum of the metric of interest for a particular region. Outliers are displayed as an open circle. DALY, disability-adjusted life years; GBD, Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors study.

Figures 2A and 2B show the countries with the largest absolute number of deaths from IS due to tobacco consumption. In 1990, the countries with the highest number of IS deaths due to tobacco were China, Russia, India, the United States, and Japan. Of all the deaths attributed to tobacco consumption in 187 countries included in the GBD 2010 study in 1990, China accounted for 26.2%, Russia for 9.9%, India for 7.3%, the United States for 5.2%, and Japan for 4.2%. Two decades later, the countries with the most IS deaths attributable to tobacco were China (29.2%), Russia (11.7%), India (10.6%), and Indonesia (4.9%). IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption in China, Russia, and India together in 2010 were more than the IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption in all of the other 184 countries included in the GBD 2010 study combined. Worryingly, the estimated IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption in China, Russia, and India had increased in the 20-year period. Even though the United States and Japan had improved between 1990 and 2010, they were still ranked sixth and fifth in 2010, with 2.6% and 3.4% of all IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption.

DISCUSSION
A key finding of the GBD 2010 study was that in the last 2 decades, the most striking increases in age-standardized IS incidence rates were observed in LMIC, especially in Eastern Europe, Central and East Asia, North and Sub-Saharan Africa/Middle East. There was a marked decrease
# TABLE 1. Age-standardized incidence, mortality, and DALY rates, median (IQR), by 21 GBD regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific, High Income</td>
<td>176.15 (11.88)</td>
<td>42.74 (12.70)</td>
<td>618.61 (158.97)</td>
<td>129.04 (5.67)</td>
<td>27.21 (12.78)</td>
<td>395.36 (135.79)</td>
<td>128.65 (4.21)</td>
<td>25.03 (10.59)</td>
<td>380.49 (138.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Central</td>
<td>199.93 (6.12)</td>
<td>46.28 (45.36)</td>
<td>710.85 (591.53)</td>
<td>221.61 (9.34)</td>
<td>43.07 (23.17)</td>
<td>657.63 (836.61)</td>
<td>220.44 (9.51)</td>
<td>41.17 (50.09)</td>
<td>622.35 (741.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia, Southeast</td>
<td>137.82 (9.13)</td>
<td>46.30 (17.49)</td>
<td>766.77 (388.40)</td>
<td>154.73 (11.86)</td>
<td>42.24 (23.17)</td>
<td>760.08 (324.70)</td>
<td>159.90 (11.98)</td>
<td>43.22 (17.30)</td>
<td>683.79 (332.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia East</td>
<td>173.08 (33.60)</td>
<td>53.95 (47.29)</td>
<td>737.79 (628.77)</td>
<td>172.11 (62.60)</td>
<td>49.72 (15.93)</td>
<td>657.63 (836.61)</td>
<td>171.02 (61.17)</td>
<td>47.68 (13.38)</td>
<td>613.74 (156.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia, Southeast</td>
<td>224.83 (23.75)</td>
<td>109.95 (36.06)</td>
<td>1386.39 (484.82)</td>
<td>212.72 (12.41)</td>
<td>71.61 (50.09)</td>
<td>948.71 (660.85)</td>
<td>215.06 (10.01)</td>
<td>62.38 (44.99)</td>
<td>590.41 (364.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe, Central</td>
<td>374.97 (119.63)</td>
<td>123.71 (66.49)</td>
<td>1732.03 (536.98)</td>
<td>418.90 (114.59)</td>
<td>92.14 (53.87)</td>
<td>1643.70 (641.92)</td>
<td>424.19 (107.82)</td>
<td>95.98 (35.82)</td>
<td>1361.22 (542.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe, Eastern</td>
<td>127.65 (13.31)</td>
<td>39.10 (16.37)</td>
<td>516.51 (194.33)</td>
<td>101.68 (9.70)</td>
<td>25.81 (10.29)</td>
<td>319.53 (106.92)</td>
<td>102.39 (11.16)</td>
<td>22.61 (7.74)</td>
<td>276.95 (89.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe, Western</td>
<td>88.35 (3.47)</td>
<td>39.10 (22.25)</td>
<td>576.26 (329.62)</td>
<td>82.14 (2.62)</td>
<td>21.78 (15.49)</td>
<td>252.59 (312.92)</td>
<td>82.79 (3.61)</td>
<td>20.59 (14.77)</td>
<td>297.96 (220.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America, Andean</td>
<td>96.85 (3.64)</td>
<td>34.71 (18.45)</td>
<td>514.70 (221.23)</td>
<td>94.61 (2.77)</td>
<td>24.87 (10.56)</td>
<td>346.90 (93.16)</td>
<td>96.53 (4.41)</td>
<td>24.89 (13.08)</td>
<td>348.51 (116.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America, Central</td>
<td>143.59 (35.61)</td>
<td>54.49 (4.86)</td>
<td>657.01 (117.30)</td>
<td>106.06 (27.91)</td>
<td>29.91 (16.52)</td>
<td>402.49 (178.22)</td>
<td>105.54 (29.27)</td>
<td>27.48 (16.98)</td>
<td>366.44 (168.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America, Tropical</td>
<td>170.47 (38.44)</td>
<td>57.43 (7.67)</td>
<td>835.83 (147.91)</td>
<td>161.32 (32.26)</td>
<td>44.37 (2.37)</td>
<td>621.82 (16.94)</td>
<td>163.40 (30.66)</td>
<td>43.73 (5.65)</td>
<td>606.31 (71.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa/Middle East</td>
<td>114.43 (42.78)</td>
<td>59.43 (21.05)</td>
<td>909.18 (292.47)</td>
<td>131.29 (45.43)</td>
<td>52.36 (14.69)</td>
<td>750.95 (274.24)</td>
<td>133.55 (50.24)</td>
<td>49.34 (14.74)</td>
<td>731.38 (410.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America, High Income</td>
<td>153.33 (40.50)</td>
<td>32.09 (1.79)</td>
<td>405.72 (1.72)</td>
<td>126.50 (33.38)</td>
<td>20.74 (0.75)</td>
<td>311.67 (18.72)</td>
<td>125.64 (34.94)</td>
<td>18.99 (0.15)</td>
<td>291.53 (8.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, Central</td>
<td>136.36 (6.63)</td>
<td>57.85 (12.96)</td>
<td>964.04 (144.73)</td>
<td>153.81 (8.82)</td>
<td>52.96 (6.92)</td>
<td>820.33 (124.45)</td>
<td>166.69 (6.26)</td>
<td>53.07 (18.51)</td>
<td>831.72 (145.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, East</td>
<td>131.44 (5.11)</td>
<td>61.17 (33.58)</td>
<td>919.26 (560.21)</td>
<td>142.11 (10.66)</td>
<td>49.70 (31.17)</td>
<td>796.69 (402.22)</td>
<td>149.77 (11.26)</td>
<td>53.87 (28.73)</td>
<td>821.87 (405.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern</td>
<td>150.42 (7.82)</td>
<td>57.20 (12.92)</td>
<td>888.74 (249.14)</td>
<td>159.25 (6.54)</td>
<td>54.71 (26.17)</td>
<td>905.70 (442.42)</td>
<td>164.23 (3.93)</td>
<td>55.38 (23.51)</td>
<td>855.45 (390.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa, West</td>
<td>125.24 (3.29)</td>
<td>45.10 (9.64)</td>
<td>748.54 (161.53)</td>
<td>137.99 (2.95)</td>
<td>41.89 (11.40)</td>
<td>672.43 (157.46)</td>
<td>143.86 (5.48)</td>
<td>45.58 (8.45)</td>
<td>706.46 (121.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in age-standardized IS incidence rates in North America as well as some other high-income regions. Compared with 1990, in 2010, MI ratios for IS were markedly reduced in Western Europe, Australasia, Central and Andean Latin America, but increased in North Africa/Middle East and Southeast Asia. Age-specific incidence rates of IS increased with age significantly in all GBD regions. Age-specific incidence, mortality rates, MI ratios, and DALY in LMIC for IS were overall greater than those in HIC [3]. It is quite likely that general improvements in primary and secondary prevention strategies for IS in HIC are driving these incidence and mortality improvements [11]; however, this needs confirmation in nonecological studies.

In this report, we presented new information by the 21 GBD regions and showed that there was considerable heterogeneity among countries within regions. Tobacco consumption is an extremely important preventable risk factor for IS. A recent report by Peters et al. [4] found that risk for IS was 54% higher (95% UI: 1.21 to 1.96) in female current smokers and 53% higher in male current smokers (95% UI: 1.28 to 1.82) than in men and women that did not smoke. We noted that China, Russia, India, the United States, and Japan were the top ranked countries for IS deaths attributable to tobacco consumption in 1990. However, 2 decades later, the United States and Japan have improved, whereas China, Russia, and India are still in the top ranked countries for IS deaths attributable to tobacco. The benefits of quitting smoking have been clearly demonstrated in large-scale long-term epidemiological studies of men [12] and women [13]. In recent decades, there have been significant reductions in smoking in HIC (such as the United States) due to political interventions (such as smoke-free policies [14], higher taxes, and/or higher prices) [15], smoking cessation programs [16], and clinical guidelines [17]. Several of these approaches have been implemented in HIC at the start of the 21st century and could also be used in LMIC settings [18]. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) has been monitoring smoke-free environments, tobacco taxation levels, and smoking cessation services in countries around the world [19]. In addition, the WHO FCTC monitors antitobacco mass media campaigns, health warnings on tobacco packages, and enforced bans on tobacco advertising, promotion, and sponsorship. The WHO FCTC has been adopted in LMIC but only to a limited extent. For example, the most recent data from 2012 shows that China and Russia have no smoke-free environment policies, but India does. China, Russia, and India have total taxation levels on cigarettes between 35% and 46%, and all have varying levels of support for smoking cessation programs (such as those provided by health clinics or primary care facilities) [19].

SUMMARY
Although age-standardized mortality rates for IS have decreased in the past 2 decades, the absolute number of DALY lost is increasing, with most of the burden occurring in LMIC. The primary drivers for this increase include population growth and aging, as well as adverse risk factor trends. Policy and legislative interventions that target the prevention and control of risk factors can play an important role in addressing the growing IS burden in LMIC. Investments in locally acceptable and affordable primary and secondary prevention strategies for IS would be a step in the right direction. For example, tobacco control policies that target both smoking initiation and smoking cessation can play an important role in the prevention of IS particularly in China and India (2 of the world’s most populous countries), where even modest reductions in the number of current smokers could prevent millions of deaths. Meaningful implementation of the WHO FCTC is a top priority not only for reducing IS burden but also the burden from other chronic noncommunicable diseases.
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